

The Hebrew-Celtic Connection

A Missing Link in Fulfilled Prophecy

by DR PASTOR J.S. BROOKS

In the 8th century BC the Assyrian Empire was at its peak, and its armies threatened the nations on the eastern Mediterranean seaboard, including Israel. The Assyrians were a brutal, fearsome people, the most terrible perhaps, in all the earth's history. Conquered lands were literally plundered of everything of value - even people, who were taken to slavery in foreign lands. The wall murals of the Assyrians, some of which now bedeck the British Museum in London, depict scenes of horrible savagery and torture. Men were sometimes skinned alive, or impaled on poles to slowly die outside the gates of the city.

Inhabitants of Palestine in those days were well aware that Assyria would only too soon conduct a similar brutal warfare against the eastern shore of the Mediterranean. Would not large numbers of Israelites migrate westward, by land and sea, to find safety for themselves and their families outside of the Assyrian sphere of influence?

Historical evidence indicates that did indeed happen. The authoritative *Dictionary of Christ & The Gospels* relates,

'Large numbers of Israelites had been carried away captive by the Assyrians and Babylonians ... But a much larger dispersion was due to voluntary emigration'.

(vol. 1, p.692)

Yes, more Israelites emigrated, migrated voluntarily out of Palestine, than even the large numbers of those taken away in the Assyrian and Babylonian captivities. As it became clear that invasion and conquest by Assyria was imminent, Hebrews and Phoenicians emigrated westward to distant lands by the many hundreds of thousands, forming the foundation of European civilization.

These historical facts have been known for centuries, and a plethora of books by leading historians has documented 'the Phoenician origin' of Western civilization. For example, the famed scholar of ancient history, George Rawlinson, called the Phoenicians,

'... the people who of all antiquity had most in common with England and the English'.

(Phoenicia, p. 23)

Historians have thus given the Phoenicians most of the credit for this emigration from Palestine to Europe, although the Hebrews were more numerous, and were Divinely promised greatly increased numbers. Perhaps the answer to the confusion is that the Hebrew language is a Phoenician dialect, and the two are virtually identical. But as we will see, a great multitude of the 'Phoenician' speaking early European colonists can be shown to be Hebrew.

Language Link

In the 18th century, historians discovered exciting proof of Phoenician-Celtic ties. An ancient Roman dramatist, Titus Maccius Plautus (died 184 BC) wrote a play the *Penulus* in which he placed the then current Phoenician into the speech of one of his characters. In the 18th century, linguists noticed the great similarity between that Phoenician and the early Irish Celtic language. Below is a sample given by historian Thomas Moore's, *History of Ireland* showing the connection between these languages.

Leading 18th and 19th century scholars, such as General Charles Vallancey, Lord Rosse, and Sir William Betham, also wrote on this subject. Vallancey, for instance, speaks of,

'The great affinity found in many words, nay whole lines and sentences of this speech, between the Punic [Phoenician] and the Irish'.

Famed historian, George Rawlinson, added that this inscription is, '... readily explicable, if Hebrew be assumed as the key ... but not otherwise'.

(Phoenicia, p. 327)

The similarity between the early Irish-Celtic and the second century BC, Hebrew-Phoenician language, as shown by the Penulus of Plautus.

PHOENICIAN OF PLAUTUS

Byth lym mo thym nociothii nel ech an ti daise machon
Ys i do iebirim thyfe lyth chy lya chon temlyph ula.

EARLY IRISH-CELTIC

Beth liom' mo thimenociaithe, niel ach an ti dairie mae coinne
Is i de leabhraim tafach leith, chi lis con teampluibh ulla.

In 1772, General Charles Vallancey, a leading Irish scholar of the day, published his famous work, *Essay On The Antiquity Of The Irish Languages Being A Collation Of The Irish With The Punic (Hebrew) Language*. In his opening remarks he states,

‘On a collation of the Irish with the Celtic, Punic, Phoenician and Hebrew languages, the strongest affinity, (nay a perfect identity in very many words) will appear; it may therefore be deemed a Punic-Celtic compound’.

Vallancey continues on pp.2-3,

‘... from the Hebrew proceeded the Phoenician ... from the Phoenician, Carthaginian, or Punic was derived the Aeolian, Dorian and Etruscan, and from these was formed the Latin ... Of the Roman Saxon capital letters, the Irish use but three, all the others bear a very great resemblance to the primitive Hebrew and Phoenician’.

(Modern language scholars have confirmed that there is a definite connection between the Celtic and Hebrew.)

Religious Link

Since it is true that Hebrews and Phoenicians migrated to Europe in large numbers in ancient times, there must be religious and cultural ties, and in fact, such connections abound. Dr Thomas Moore’s, *History of Ireland* (p. 40), relates,

‘That most common of all Celtic monuments, the Cromlech ... is to be found not only in most parts of Europe, but also in Asia, [including Palestine]. Not less ancient and general, among the Celtic nations, was the circle of upright stones, with either an altar or tall pillar in the centre, and, like its prototype at Gilgal [ancient Israel], serving sometimes as a temple of worship, sometimes as a place of national council or inauguration ... The rough, unhewn stone ... used in their circular temples by the Druids, was the true, orthodox observance of the divine command delivered to Noah, ‘...if thou wilt make me an altar of stone, thou shalt not build it of hewn stone...’ (Exodus ch.20 v.25).

Dr Beauford, in *Druidism Revived*, says,

‘It is remarkable that all the ancient altars found in Ireland, and now distinguished by the name of Cromlechs or sloping stones, were originally called Bothal, or the House of God, and they seem to be of the same species as those mentioned in the Book

of Genesis, called by the Hebrews, Bethel, which has the same signification as the Irish Bothal’.

Looking to the Bible (see Judges ch.9 v.6, 2 Kings ch.11 v.14 & 2 Chronicles ch.23 v.13), indicates that Hebrew kings were crowned either standing upon or next to a pillar of stone.

‘The practice of seating the new king upon a stone, at his initiation, was the practice in many of the countries of Europe ... The monarchs of Sweden sat upon a stone placed in the centre of twelve lesser ones, and in a similar kind of circle the Kings of Denmark were crowned.’

(Moore, *ibid.*, p. 42)

Note also the significant Bible number, ‘twelve’, which was common to both European Celts and the Hebrews.

The book, *Identity of the Religions Druidical and Hebrew*, (p.15), adds the following,

‘Circular temples ... abound in England and other parts of Europe. The most ancient account of them is to be found in the book of Exodus (24:4), ‘And Moses ... builded an altar under the hill, and twelve pillars, according to the twelve tribes ...’.

In Europe; Stonehenge, Avebury, and many other early Celtic sites were designed in a circular pattern.

Groves were also features of both Hebrew and Celtic worship. The Bible tells us that Abraham ‘... called on the name of the LORD’ (Genesis ch.13 v.4)’ from a grove planted by his own hand. Gideon worshipped God under an oak tree (see Judges ch.6 vv 19-24).

The division of time into a seven-day week was practiced by the Irish Celts, identical to the Hebrews. Dr Thomas Moore comments that no other nation kept such a hebdomadal (seven day) cycle ‘... excepting only among the family of Abraham,’ (*ibid.*, p. 54) a remarkable proof of identity between the two peoples!

Since early times, the Israelites sinned against God by adopting many of the pagan practices of their neighbours, and so we find evidence of both Hebrew and Canaanite culture among their descendants in Europe. The ancient Baal pillar (shown on right) is one of many such religious monuments which have been found from the Middle East to Ireland.




Geographical Link

The early name of south-western England was 'Dumnonii', or 'Danmonii', as shown by a portion of a map in Celtic scholar, John Rhys book, *Early Celtic Britain*. This comprises today the British counties of Cornwall and Devon. Highly respected historian William Camden remarked concerning Cornwall,

'That region, which according to the geographers, is the first of all Britain, and ... was in ancient times inhabited by those Britans, whom Solinas called, Dunmonii, Ptolomy (called) Damnonii, or (as we find in some other copies), **more truly Danmonii. Which name ... Derived from the ever-continuing mines of tin in this tract, which the Britains call Moina**'.

(Britannia, p. 183)



This compound word is therefore composed of 'moina', a tin mine, and 'Dan', the people who mined the tin. So this most ancient region of England is properly called '**Danmoni**' meaning, '**Dan's tin mines**'. That these early inhabitants known as 'Dan' were in fact the Biblical tribe by the same name has been established by leading modern scholars such as Cyrus Gordon. If these early colonists had actually been Phoenicians, the region would have been called, not 'Danmoni', but 'Fenimoni', because the Phoenicians were known as the 'Punic' or 'Feni' civilization.

Celtic scholar, John Rhys, gives strong evidences of Hebrew colonization of the British Isles in ancient times.

'Ireland was known as "**Iberion**", ...' he says. (p. 201). The ancient name of the Israelites was '**Ibri**' or '**Iberi**' (modern: Hebrew), which is derived from the name, '**Eber**', or '**Heber**', an ancestor and patriarch of that people. Mr Rhys continues, '... in Ireland it was 'Ivernii' in Ptolomy's time; and he mentions a town there called Ivernis, and a river Ivernios. To these may be added various forms of the name of the island, such as Juvenal's Iuuerna, distorted more usually by the Romans into Hibernia ... **their eponymous ancestor** ... is variously called ... "**Eber**", "**Emer**" and "**Heber**".'

(ibid., p. 262-3)

Dr Rhys discusses a region.

'... just in the vicinity of St David's or Mnyw, called in the *Welsh Chronicle* 'Moni Tudeorum', which contains an allusion probably to the same people.'

(ibid., p. 226)

Rhys says that some scholars suggest this word, 'Iudeorum' or 'Judeorum', may relate to the 'jutes', a Germanic tribe in Northern Europe, but that he believes such a view incorrect. Instead, Rhys indicates that it identifies Hebrews of the tribe of Judah. Rhys adds,

'... lastly we seem to have a trace of the same form in the *Welsh Chronicle*, sometimes called *Annales Cambriae*, when it calls Menevia or St David's "Moni Iudeorum". We need not be here troubled by the Lost Ten Tribes of Israel, But ... It would be hard to prove the contrary'.

(ibid., p. 150)

Rhys also discusses early Celtic names and suggests that we '... compare Semitic names ... compare the Hebrew' (ibid., p. 259-260).

Historical Link

One last fascinating connection with ancient Israel is suggested by Professor Rhys, who says (p. 142),

'... the (Celtic) "Kymry" were for some time indifferently called "Cambria" or "Cumbria", the Welsh word on which they are based being, as now written, "Cymru" ... and is there pronounced nearly as an Englishman would treat it if spelled "Kumry" or "Kumri".'

As students of Old Testament history well know, 'Kumri' or 'Khumri' was the name of the Israelites in Assyrian texts (see *The March of Archaeology*, by C.W Ceram, p. 216). The virtual identity in spelling and sound between the Israelite 'Khumri' and the Celtic 'Kymry' is too

much of a coincidence to not have a relationship. Taken with the many other evidences, religious and cultural, the connection between the ancient Hebrews and Celts is too strong to be ignored.

In fact, it is no longer a question of, 'Did Hebrews settle in Europe in ancient times?' but only a question of, 'How many of the people of Europe are of Hebrew descent?' When considering the great numbers of early Israelites, the Biblical promise of multitudinous seed (see Genesis chs 26 v.4, 32 v.12, Exodus ch.32 v.13, Jeremiah ch.33 v.22, etc.), it is evident that the Hebrew-Celtic connection is very significant.

Irish history records three main waves of colonization to that isle in ancient times: the 'Firbolgs', of whom little is known, the 'Tuatha de Danaan' (meaning 'Tribe of Dan'; 'tuath' means 'tribe'), and the 'Milesians'. The latter two peoples are known to have originated in Asia and may have been related. *The Story Of Ireland*, by A.M. Sullivan, tells us this (p.12),

'The Milesian colony ... were an Eastern people ... they had passed from land to land, from the shores of Asia across the wide expanse of southern Europe, bearing aloft through all their wanderings the Sacred Banner, which symbolized to them at once their origin and their mission, the blessing and the promise given to their race. This celebrated standard, the "Sacred Banner of the Milesians", was a flag on which was represented a dead serpent and the rod of Moses ...'.

The Milesians traced their ancestry to 'Gadelius', whose grandfather was the 'king of Scythia' (p.13). Interestingly, Gad was a son of the patriarch Jacob, and his descendants formed one of the tribes of Israel. The Greek word 'Scythia' is derived from the Semitic, 'Skutha', and the Persian, 'Saca', which are terms for the Israelites. As if this wasn't enough coincidence, the serpent symbol was a family heraldic emblem of the Israelite tribe of Dan (see Genesis ch. 49 v.17), whose descendants have been traced by leading modern American archaeologist Cyrus Gordon, to the 'Tuatha de Danaan' of early Ireland! (See *Before Columbus*, pp. 108-111).

Summary

Therefore we can say that in all of these (and a multitude of other) ways, the Celts and Hebrews bear a remarkable relationship. Since the Celts were spread over most of Europe, the cultural, historical, and theological implications of this truth are immensely significant.
